

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/790,143	KEGASAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Barbara J. Musser	1733	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Barbara J. Musser.

(3) _____.

 (2) Allison Tulino.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

8

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested amending the claims to include a gas source, to indicate the gas nozzle was perpendicular to the cooling roll, and to indicate the gas nozzle was within 90 degrees of the nip, and applicant submitted claim pages to that effect

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)